Serial No. 10/630 032

REMARKS

Claims 1-32 remain pending in the application, with claims 1, 16 and 25 being the

independent claims. For all of the reasons set forth in the previous Response, Applicants

continue to believe that a species election requirement is improper. In addition, the "species"

that have been identified in the Office Action do not even include the drawings that pertain to the

claimed methods.

However, because an election is mandatory, Applicants elect Species IV, as most closely

corresponding to claims 16-32. All such claims are believed to read on the elected species, as the

other identified species do not show any significant aspects of the claimed methods.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this paper that have not been

accounted for in this paper or the accompanying papers, please charge the fees to our Deposit

Account No. 13-3735. If an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is required for the filing of

this paper and is not accounted for in this paper or the accompanying papers, such an extension is

requested and the fee (or any underpayment thereof) should also be charged to our Deposit

Account. A duplicate copy of this page is enclosed for that purpose.

Dated: July 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

MITCHELL, SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP

MITCHELL, SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP 11377 West Olympic Boulevard

Los Angeles, California 90064 Telephone: (310) 312-2000

Facsimile: (310) 312-3100

Bv /Joseph G. Swan/ Joseph G. Swan Registration No. 41.338

1036146.DOC -2-